## CWIS CITY SNAPSHOT



# LUSAKA



# LUSAKA CWIS CITY SNAPSHOT

The Citywide Inclusive Sanitation (CWIS) city snapshots are designed to provide compact summaries of initiatives that are being implemented in eight cities, namely Lusaka, Kampala, Dakar, Khulna, Trichy, Warangal, Narsapur and Wai. Each of these cities has active investments designed to achieve the CWIS goals of equitable, safe, and sustainable sanitation service delivery. These city snapshots are part of the CWIS Monitoring and Learning initiative led by Athena Infonomics with support from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

This city snapshot focuses on the city of Lusaka where the Lusaka Water Supply and Sanitation Company (LWSC) is the lead implementing partner. This city snapshot outlines the pathway that Lusaka is taking to achieve its CWIS goals and tracks the progress being made, including key shifts in institutional and service delivery models to support safe, equitable and sustainable delivery of services.



BILL& MELINDA GATES foundation

### 1. City Sanitation Overview

Category	Indicator	Value
Demographic	Administrative boundary	Lusaka is the capital and largest city of Zambia. It has an area of 360 sq. km and includes 30+ regulated informal settlements (locally referred to as 'Peri-Urban Areas', or PUAs). While the boundary of Lusaka Province has expanded over the years, Lusaka City boundary has not changed since the 1960s. All the information and statistics in this snapshot are based on the Lusaka City boundary.
	Population (resident)	2,526,102 <sup>1</sup>
	% of population living in informal settlements <sup>2</sup>	70% <sup>3</sup>
Geographic	Topography	Lusaka is mostly flat, with an elevation between 1,200 and 1,300m above sea level, covering an area of 360 km <sup>2</sup> . The most vulnerable areas of the aquifer system coincide with low-income areas with a very high prevalence of unimproved on-site sanitation, leading to severe public health risks.
	Groundwater table	60% of the water supplied to consumers in Lusaka is from groundwater sources within the city, and is highly susceptible to contamination. <sup>4</sup> A third of the city has a high or extreme risk of groundwater contamination and the rest is at moderate risk. <sup>5</sup>
	% of population covered by sewerage networks	16%
Basic	% of population practicing open defecation	1%
Sanitation Statistics (as	% of population relying on onsite sanitation	83%
of 2020) <sup>6</sup>	Treatment infrastructure (capacity) and utilization	4 Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTPs) with 52 MLD operational capacity and 2 Fecal Sludge Treatment Plants (FSTPs) with100 KLD operational capacity. The treatment plants are currently running over capacity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Central Statistical Office, 2013: projection for 2018, based on 2010 census

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Termed Peri-Urban Areas in Zambia. UN-HABITAT gives the operational definition of an informal settlement or slum as an area that combines to various extents the following characteristics: inadequate access to safe water; inadequate access to situation and other infrastructure; insecure residential status; poor structural quality of housing; and overcrowding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 70% of the population lived in 33 PUAs in 2007 – WSUP, Towards City Wide Sanitation in Lusaka, 2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some accounts put groundwater contamination in urban Lusaka at 70% (WARMA)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> German Federa<sup>1</sup> Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources, 2012: Lusaka Groundwater Vulnerability Map (Bäumle, & Kang'omba, 2013) <sup>6</sup> Data is for Lusaka City Boundaries.

### 2. Institutional and Governance Framework of City Sanitation Service Delivery

The graphics below show the institutional mandate, accountability and service provision models for Lusaka before the CWIS program started and the current scenario as of 2020. The full institutional model of urban sanitation service delivery covers all three of the systems functions under CWIS—Responsibility<sup>7</sup>, Accountability<sup>8</sup>, and Resource Planning/ Management (financing framework)<sup>9</sup>. The illustration in this section presents only responsibility and accountability, as financing framework is complex and varies widely across cities. The section on service model illustrates how sanitation services are being delivered. The service model includes a wide range of options such as direct provision by the mandated service authority, public private partnerships, and direct provision by the private sector but with oversight/ regulation by the service authority or through open markets with limited oversight/regulation.

In Lusaka, a top down reform has significantly changed the institutional and service models for Non-Sewered Sanitation (NSS). This national reform has given clear mandate where it was ambiguous earlier, and simultaneously putting in place the accountability mechanisms for NSS service provision.



Legends: SS - Sewered Sanitation; NSS - Non-Sewered Sanitation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Responsibility means that authority (ies) executes a clear public mandate to ensure safe, equitable, and sustainable sanitation for all.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Accountability means that authorities' performance against their mandate is monitored and managed with data, transparency and incentives.
<sup>9</sup> Resource Planning/ Management means that resources – human, financial, natural, assets – are effectively managed to support execution of mandate across time / space.

Lusaka – Current (2020	))	Capture	Sanitation Service Chain           Emptying         Conveyance         Treat	atment Disposal/Reuse
INSTITUTIONAL MODEL	SS	HH *LWSC's license has been a legalized.	LWSC a amended to include all areas of the city, including all the informal settlements/ PUAs that have not been	
Mandate	NSS	HH *LWSC's name was change responsible for ensuring af surcharges that it collects (r	<b>LWSC</b> ed to Lusaka Water Supply and Sanitation Company to reflect a fordable and quality emptying services, and for the containment s mostly PTs/CTs).	n expanded mandate. LWSC is now also systems that are built using the sanitation
INSTITUTIONAL MODEL	SS	LCC *Accountability for SS rema	NWASCO ins the same as in the 2016 scenario.	ZEMA
Accountability	Accountability *Same as in the 2016 scenario, LCC is still responsible for ensuring that building design standards, including containment systems, are met in the rest of the cases. However, new by-laws (in legislative process) require LCC to inspect and enforce building designs, giving i the power to demolish non-compliant structures and allowing LWSC and LCC to collaborate on the building/ containment system inspections. After the reform, new responsibilities that LWSC has taken on are also brought under the regulation of NWASCO. As the license holder, LWSC is answerable to NWASCO on desludging prices, service coverage, and service quality. For containment systems LWSC is now required to report on SDG 6 and to increase coverage of improved toilets against targets and KPIs.			
SERVICE MODEL	SS	HH *A new Water Supply and S expansion, among other th the power to charge the HH	<b>LWSC</b> anitation Services Act (WSS Services Act) that covers both SS and ings. LWSC is expanding sewer pipelines to household boundarie if it does not connect to the sewer once the network has been bro	NSS is being developed to facilitate sewer is, and the WSS Services Act will give LWSC rught to its boundary.
Service Provisi & Financing	NSS on	HH *LCC and LWSC now joir performance-based contra within its license and it will business model under deve	LWSC ty ensure that containment systems meet quality standard acts with private emptiers and community-based organizations. be mandatory for all private players to report to LWSC periodically elopment by LWSC.	ls. For desludging, LWSC is developing LWSC will issue permits to these players (on a set of KPIs, which are part of the FSM

#### 3. List of CWIS Interventions

This section seeks to capture Lusaka's path to CWIS goals of equity, safety and sustainability and its performance on key functions such as clarity of mandate/responsibility, accountability and resource planning/ management. The table below is a list of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)<sup>10</sup> used to gauge changes towards CWIS, followed by another table detailing the scenario in Lusaka. The KPIs EQ-1 and SF-1 specifically follow the definitions as laid out in the Shit Flow Diagram (SFD) manual<sup>11</sup>.

#### **KPIs for Interventions**

	Equity	Safety	Sustainability
	Services reflect fairness in distribution	Services safeguard customers, workers, and	Services are reliably and continually
	and prioritization of service quality,	communities from safety and health risks—	delivered based on effective management
	prices, and deployment of public	reaching everyone with safe sanitation	of human, financial and natural resources
	finance/ subsidies		
	• EQ-1: % safely managed	• <b>SF-1</b> : % safely managed sanitation	• <b>SS-1</b> : % of treated wastewater that
S	sanitation in low income areas	<ul> <li>% WW contained</li> </ul>	is reused
Ĕ	<ul> <li>% wastewater (WW)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>% WW contained delivered to</li> </ul>	• <b>SS-2</b> : % of treated biosolids that is
S S	contained	treatment	reused
nt	<ul> <li>% supernatant (SN)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>% SN contained</li> </ul>	• SS-3: % of utility capital
0	contained	<ul> <li>% FS contained</li> </ul>	investments covered by budget
ic	<ul> <li>% FS contained</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>% FS emptied (contained + not</li> </ul>	line/ government transfers
2	<ul> <li>% FS emptied</li> </ul>	contained)	• SS-4: % of O&M cost recovered for
Ň	• EQ-2: Women's participation in	<ul> <li>% wastewater treated</li> </ul>	sanitation infrastructure
	sanitation related matters	<ul> <li>% FS treated</li> </ul>	(STPs/WWTPs, FSTPs, CT/PTs,
	• EQ-3: Gender friendly PT/CT	• SF-2: Health and safety standards	desludging trucks, etc.)
	design	and SOPs exist to protect sanitation	
	• EQ-4: % of sanitation workers	workers from occupational	
	covered by social security and	hazards, and compliance is	
	health insurance	monitored	
	Responsibility	Accountability	<b>Resource Planning/</b>
	Authority (ies) executes a clear public	Authorities' performance against their	Management
	mandate to ensure safe, equitable, and	mandate is monitored and managed with	Resources – human, financial, natural,
	sustainable sanitation for all.	data, transparency and incentives.	assets – are effectively managed to support
Suc			execution of mandate across time / space.
Ĕ	• <b>RS-1</b> : Policy mandate for service	• AC-1: Service authority	• <b>RPM-1</b> : Clear financing framework
ur	delivery covers both sewered	performance is monitored	• <b>RPM-2:</b> Staff are in place and
Ę	and non-sewered sanitation	externally with clear KPIs and	capable to execute mandate
E E	across the entire sanitation	targets	• <b>RPM-3</b> : Quality of investment
Syste	service chain	• AC-2: Performance data is	decision-making
	<ul> <li>Mandate has no exclusions</li> </ul>	sufficiently collected and reported,	• <b>RPM-4</b> : Integrated citywide
	<ul> <li>Mandate is explicitly pro-poor</li> </ul>	representative, and transparent	sanitation plan
	<ul> <li>Mandate is gender intentional</li> </ul>	• AC-3: Incentives and/or penalties	
	and inclusive of vulnerable	tied to performance exist for	
	groups	sanitation service authority	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The KPIs are based on the list of CWIS indicators, which are more detailed and intended to offer comprehensive insights into a city's progress towards CWIS. This KPI list focuses on a subset of CWIS indicators and seeks to highlight interventions that can contribute to improved outcomes, as most cities are still in early stages of investment maturity. For example, the CWIS indicators measure women's usage of PT/CTs as quantitative outcomes, while the KPI EQ-3 focus on gender friendly PT/CTs as an intermediate outcome that can lead to more women using PT/CTs. 11 Definitions as per the <u>SFD Manual</u> i.e., %SN contained = 0.5 \* %Septic tank/ fully lined tank (sealed)/ lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom connected to a centralized/decentralized combined sewer or foul/separate sewer; %WW contained = %Toilet discharges directly to a centralized/decentralized combined sewer or foul/separate sewer; %ES contained (all conditions when there is 'low risk' of groundwater pollution)

centralized/decentralized combined sewer or foul/separate sewer; %FS contained (all conditions when there is 'low risk' of groundwater pollution) = %Toilet discharges directly to soak pit + %Septic tank/ fully lined tank (sealed)/ lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom connected to soak pit or no outlet + % Lined/ unlined pit, no outlet or overflow + % Pit (all types), never emptied but abandoned when full and covered with soil, no outlet or overflow + %SN contained.

#### Lusaka Scenario

The table below presents the scenario in Lusaka before the CWIS program was initiated, the target that needs to be achieved and the reforms and interventions made to reach this target. The table seeks to cover key interventions, both those completed over the past few years and those under planning, by all stakeholders that contribute to goals aligned with the CWIS idea. The table is not restricted to interventions that are part of the BMGF funded CWIS program or the CWIS grantee.

\*NOTE: Acronyms are available at the end of the section.

		Equity	Safety	Sustainability
Service Outcomes	Starting Scenario (2017)	<ul> <li>EQ-1: 1% safely managed sanitation in LICs/PUAs.</li> <li>0% WW contained (no HH connected to sewers)</li> <li>EQ-2: Limited women's participation in sanitation related matters.</li> <li>EQ-3: Existing PT/CTs are not gender friendly.</li> <li>EQ-4: Private sanitation workers are not covered by social security and health insurance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SF-1: 17% safely managed sanitation.<sup>12</sup></li> <li>14% of WW safely contained;</li> <li>10% of WW contained delivered to treatment</li> <li>22% of FS contained;</li> <li>36% of FS emptied (11% contained emptied + 25% not contained emptied);</li> <li>4% of wastewater treated;</li> <li>1% of FS treated.</li> <li>SF-2: Health and safety standards and SOPs for FSM do not exist.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SS-1: 0% of treated effluent is reused.</li> <li>SS-2: 100% of treated biosolids are reused; biosolids are sold at below market prices due to not meeting quality standards set by ZEMA.</li> <li>SS-3: 5% of capital investments is covered by budget line/ government transfers.<sup>13</sup></li> <li>SS-4: 67% of O&amp;M cost is recovered for STPs.<sup>14</sup> Most of the PTs (run by LCC) are able to fully recover O&amp;M from user charges.</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> All numbers are from the 2018 Lusaka SFD. <u>https://www.susana.org/en/knowledge-hub/resources-and-publications/library/details/3468</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Estimate provided by LWSC staff in interviews.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> STP opex is from LWSC Sewerage Department budget for 2017. This does not include staff salaries, which likely constitute a significant portion of operational cost.

	• LSP is providing subsidy of 80% towards	• The Public Health Act (1997) requires all properties	• I WSC plans to build a reuse plant through
	the cost to construct 5500 safe onsite	within 60.96m of a sewer pipeline to connect to	a PPP to ensure that the final FS by-
	sanitation facilities	sewers although no penalties apply if the owner fails	products are compliant with 7FMA
	• I WSC has built 86 out of planned100 public	to do so: MWDSEP is developing a new Water and	standards and can be sold at market
	toilets	Sanitation Services Act which among other things	value
	• LWSC held trainings targeting 500	facilitates sewer expansion by giving LWSC the nower	NWASCO is developing a pricing strategy
	• EWSC field trainings targeting 500	to charge the ULL if it does not connect to the sower	for NES to opsure affordable and cost
	continuinty representatives and 200 LWSC	to charge the AA II it does not connect to the sewer	roflactive charges that will improve cost
	stall to deal with identified social and	NIMASCO LCC and ZADS are developing design	reflective charges that will improve cost
	gender issues. LWSC plans to have follow	NWASCO, LCC and ZABS are developing design	recovery.
	up training targeting an additional 400	standards for OSS; LCC plans to pass Lusaka City by-	
	LWSC staff by the end of 2020.	laws to ensure adherence to these standards.	
	LWSC has signed six performance based	• LWSC has divided the city into 3 zones for private	
S	contracts with Private Operators to provide	operators to render services in specific zones at	
ior	safe and affordable emptying and	standardized zone wise charges. LWSC plans to	
ent	transportation service in PUAs; LWSC will	implement scheduled desludging in the zoned areas	
Ž	provide a subsidy of about \$10/m³ of fecal	to ensure that Non-Sewered Sanitation (NSS) services	
nte	sludge emptied; the contracts cover social	are affordable for the poor.	
~	security and health insurance for their	<ul> <li>LWSC is developing performance based contracts</li> </ul>	
ns	sanitation workers.	with private emptiers and community-based	
or		organizations. Under NWASCO's new regulatory	
Ref		reforms, LWSC will issue permits to these players	
_		within its license and it will be mandatory for all	
		private players to report to LWSC periodically on a	
		set of KPIs.	
		<ul> <li>LWSC has constructed two FSTPs totaling 100KLD</li> </ul>	
		and plans to construct two additional FSTPs totaling	
		56KLD.	
		<ul> <li>LWSC will embark on the rehabilitation and</li> </ul>	
		expansion of sewer network by an additional 520km;	
		LWSC also plans to upgrade the Ngerere ponds into a	
		conventional treatment facility, and rehabilitate and	
		upgrade the Chunga WWTP.	
		• LWSC developed SOP for SS and NSS to establish	
		health and safety standards.	

	Target Scenario (2021 & beyond)	<ul> <li>EQ-1: 14% safely managed sanitation in LIC/PUAs.</li> <li>EQ-2: All sanitation workers covered by social security and health insurance as required by law.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SF-1: 42% safely managed sanitation.</li> <li>23% WW contained;</li> <li>22% WW contained delivered to treatment;</li> <li>33% FS contained;</li> <li>25% FS emptied;</li> <li>21% WW treated;</li> <li>14% FS treated.</li> <li>SF-2: Health and safety standards and SOP exist to protect sanitation workers, and compliance is monitored through permits to private emptiers.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SS-1: 80% of treated effluent is reused.</li> <li>SS-2: All biosolids meet ZEMA quality standards and are sold at market prices.</li> <li>SS-3: At least 10% of capital investments covered by budget line/ government transfers.</li> <li>SS-4: 100% of O&amp;M cost recovered for STPs/WWTPs and FSTPs.</li> </ul>
		Responsibility	Accountability	Resource Planning/ Management
System Functions	Starting Scenario (2017)	<ul> <li>RS-1: LWSC's mandate for service delivery only covers the sewered areas of the city.</li> <li>Customers relying on OSS are excluded from service;</li> <li>No pro-poor mandate, interventions mostly done haphazardly in response to emergencies (e.g. disease outbreaks) using NWASCO's Devolution Trust Fund;</li> <li>Mandate does not explicitly mention the needs of women or other vulnerable groups.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>AC-1: NWASCO (independent &amp; autonomous national regulator) is the performance and economic regulator of all commercial utilities incl. LWSC on sewered sanitation, with clear KPIs. No regulatory framework exists for non-sewered sanitation.</li> <li>AC-2: The NWASCO Information System (NIS) is the main national level database for water and sanitation (mostly sewered) data; all commercial utilities are required to enter data into NIS on an annual basis. No citywide baseline data has been collected and very limited data is available for onsite sanitation.</li> <li>AC-3: NWASCO utilizes a set of incentives and penalties from financial reward and certificate of recognition to tariff embargo and cancellation of utility operating license.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>RPM-1: Budget allocation is based on needs. LWSC's sanitation levy is ring fenced but not the other sanitation revenue sources.</li> <li>RPM-2: No dedicated staff for NSS.</li> <li>RPM-3: Investment decisions heavily lean towards sewered technology options with high CAPEX, while residents benefiting from the investment have been relatively small in number.</li> <li>RPM-4: A multi-stakeholder sanitation master plan exists, but does not adequately cover NSS.</li> </ul>

Reforms & Interventions	<ul> <li>NWASCO has revised LWSC's license terms to make non-sewered sanitation an explicit component of the utility's mandate; LWSC's name was changed to Lusaka Water Supply and Sanitation Company to reflect the mandate expansion. As one of the license terms, LWSC signed a Service Level Agreement with NWASCO to lead service provision in LICs/PUAs and "rural" areas of the city.</li> <li>NWASCO is developing guidelines for LWSC to engage private desludging operators in SLAs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NWASCO developed a regulatory and M&amp;E framework for NSS, by-laws to operationalize FSM regulations, and guidelines and standards for NSS.</li> <li>NWASCO is developing pricing/financing guidelines for NSS.</li> <li>LWSC is conducting a baseline assessment of the entire city.</li> <li>LWSC, LCC, and the Ministry of Health jointly developed a GIS MIS with spatial data showing sanitation facilities in the entire city.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>LWSC plans to use sanitation levy for NSS services.</li> <li>NWASCO is creating a revolving fund for sanitation in LIC/PUAs, using the sanitation levy/ surcharge that is ringfenced.</li> <li>LWSC formed an FSM unit and employed a dedicated team for managing OSS/ FSM.</li> <li>LWSC streamlined the procurement process to reduce bureaucracies and facilitate acceptance of innovative technologies, such as new toilet designs for the poor.</li> <li>LWSC has identified planning gaps in the Lusaka Sanitation Master Plan and plans to update it through a multi-stakeholder approach. This involves developing an NSS strategy with an investment framework</li> </ul>
Target Scenario (2021& beyond)	<ul> <li><b>RS-1</b>: Service delivery for both sewered and non-sewered sanitation across the service chain is within the mandate of a single service authority/ LWSC.</li> <li>Mandate covers service provision to everyone within Lusaka City boundaries. All areas, both rural and urban, are included;</li> <li>Pro-poor focus is incorporated into the legal and regulatory documents that define LWSC's mandate.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>AC-1: NWASCO regulates the performance and tariffs of LWSC for both sewered and non-sewered sanitation with clear KPIs on both sanitation coverage and service quality.</li> <li>AC-2: Sanitation related data is comprehensively collected and captured in an MIS based on spatial GIS data for the entire city.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><b>RPM-2</b>: Dedicated and sufficient staff for LWSC to run NSS service delivery in the city.</li> <li><b>RPM-3</b>: Investment decisions are based on considerations of service coverage and life-cycle costs.</li> <li><b>RPM-4</b>: Lusaka Sanitation Master Plan updated to adequately cover NSS.</li> </ul>

#### Acronyms:

- CT/ PT Community Toilet/ Public Toilet
  IHHL Individual Household Latrine
  LCC Lusaka City Corporation
  LIC Low Income Community
  LSP Lusaka Sanitation Program
  LWSC Lusaka Water Supply and Sanitation Company, previously Lusaka Water and Sewerage Company
  NWASCO National Water Supply and Sanitation Council
  MWDSEP Ministry of Water Development, Sanitation and Environmental Protection
  PUA Peri-Urban Area, local term used to refer to LIC
  OSS Onsite Sanitation Systems
  SLA Service Level Agreement
  WSUP Water and Sanitation for the Urban Poor
  ZABS Zambia Bureau of Standards
- **ZEMA** Zambia Environmental Management Agency